Details of Bid & Lot Numbers

Project Title : SASEC Road Connectivity Investment Program (SRCIP), Tranche - 1

Package No. : MN/PWD/EAP/04

Bid Title : Construction of Imphal Kangchup Tamenglong Road

Bid No. : 22/PD/SRCIP/PROC-W/2014/03 dt. 08.04.2015

Sl. No.	Name of Bidders who submitted the Bid	Bid price as read out at Bid opening (INR)	Evaluated Bid Price (INR)	Remarks
1.	HCC - VCCL (JV)	**11,574,554,651.10**	**11,14,17,63,506.68**	Technically Qualified and the financial bid was opened on 20.11.2015 at 13:00 Hrs
2.	Gammon India Limited	-	-	Technical Bid was non-responsive (Not-qualified) and the financial bid was returned unopened.
3.	BSCPL Infrastructure Ltd.	**11,734,256,761.05**	**11,734,256,761.05**	Technically Qualified and the financial bid was opened on 20.11.2015 at 13:00 Hrs
4.	PNC-ABCI (JV)	-	-	Technical Bid was non-responsive (Not-qualified) and the financial bid was returned unopened.
5.	RAMKY- ECI (JV)	-	-	Technical Bid was non-responsive (Not-qualified) and the financial bid was returned unopened.

Reason for the rejection of the firms are as given below:-

1. **Gammon India Limited:-**

   The firm does not meet the requirements of the **Historical Financial performance** for the last 3 years and **Construction Experience in key activities** under clause 2.4.2. As per Para 2.37 of the Guide on Bid Evaluation which specifies **"Failure in any of the financial and technical experience criteria's leads to rejection of a bid"**. Hence the firms Technical Bid is determined to be non-responsive and technically unqualified.

2. **PNC-ABCI (JV):-**

   The bidder fails to meet the requirement stipulated under clause 2.4.2 : **Construction Experience in Key Activities** as per the confirmation certificate from the employer . As

stated in para 2.37 of the Guide on Bid Evaluation, the firms Technical Bid is determined to be non-responsive and technically unqualified.

3. **RAMKY- ECI (JV):-**

The firm is not qualified under clause 2.4.2 in **'Construction Experience in key activities'** as per the confirmation certificate of the employer and this is considered "Material Deviation" as stated in Para 2.37 of the Guide on Bid Evaluation which specifies **"Failure in any of the financial and technical experience criteria's leads to rejection of a bid"**. Hence the firms Technical Bid is considered to be non-responsive and technically unqualified.

4. The name of the winning bidder is **HCC - VCCL (JV)** at the evaluated contract price of **₹1114,17,63,506.68** and the duration of the contract period is **1279 days.**

Summary scope of the contract awarded :-

The scope of the contract awarded has the provision for widening to 4-lane from Km 0.00 to Km 5.54, 2-lane with paved shoulder from Km 5.54 to Km 12.90 from the existing Intermediate double lane standard road in the valley section and construction of double lane road in the hill section from Km 12.90 to Km 103.020 and construction of 5.50m width spur alignment of 4.95 Km cumulative length. The work has the following sub heads :

a) General Provision
b) Site Clearance
c) Earth works
d) Sub-base and Base Course (Non-Bituminous)
e) Pavement (DBM and BC)
f) Cross drainage structures (Drain, Protection works, Bridge and Culvers)
g) Traffic signs, Markings and Road Apertures, and
h) Miscellaneous (Routine maintenance).

(Y. Joykumar Singh)
Project Director
EAP, PWD, Manipur